Message

From: Soto, Vicki [Soto.Vicki@epa.gov]

Sent: 1/31/2023 3:22:29 PM

To: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; Shams, Dahnish [Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov]

CC: Bateson, Thomas [Bateson.Thomas@epa.gov]; Waleko, Garland [Waleko.Garland@epa.gov]; Soto Hernandez,

Jessica [SotoHernandez.Jessica@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: should dave bayliss be added as a contributor on the assessment (he's not listed now)?

Attachments: Formaldehyde_Appendices_ExternalDraft_040522 vF LitCited HERO.docx;

Formaldehyde_MainText_ExternalDraft_040122 LitCited vF HERO.docx;

Formaldehyde_Overview_ExternalDraft_040122 LitCited vF HERO.docx; Questions for EPA_January 6 2023_EPA Response 1-26-2023.docx; Formaldehyde_ChargePeerReview_ExternalDraft_040122 LitCited vF HERO.docx

Hi Andrew and Tom,

These are the files we used to create the PDFs that were released (I included the Question/response too for your files).

Remember to unformat the citations before you really get into editing (Jessica can help you).

Jessica – can you also help and make sure these files are in their QA and Peer Review folders?

Thanks!

Vicki

From: Kraft, Andrew < Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov> Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 10:11 AM

To: Soto, Vicki <Soto.Vicki@epa.gov>; Shams, Dahnish <Shams.Dahnish@epa.gov>

Cc: Bateson, Thomas <Bateson.Thomas@epa.gov>; Waleko, Garland <Waleko.Garland@epa.gov> **Subject:** RE: should dave bayliss be added as a contributor on the assessment (he's not listed now)?

Sorry to pepper you with two asks at once, Vicki and Dahnish. But, can you please point Tom and I to the word versions of the formaldehyde draft materials that we should use as a base for our post-NASEM review versions? I know that there were some last-minute technical edits before they were pdf'd, so I want to make sure we are working with the most up-to-date drafts and don't introduce any version control issues.

Thanks!

From: Bateson, Thomas < Bateson. Thomas@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 9:00 AM **To:** Kraft, Andrew < <u>Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: should dave bayliss be added as a contributor on the assessment (he's not listed now)?

Certainly a good idea to collect all the changes we already know we want to make.

From: Kraft, Andrew < Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 8:36 AM
To: Bateson, Thomas < Bateson. Thomas@epa.gov>

Cc: Kraft, Andrew < Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: should dave bayliss be added as a contributor on the assessment (he's not listed now)?

I wonder if we should start a working "final" draft somewhere? There are a couple notes I want to add in advance of the NAS report anyway:

-add David B. as contributor

- -make sure Brian P.'s affiliation is corrected
- -check characterization of Recio study
- -perhaps add the NAS (2011)-like figure on selection for dose-response (already developed and used in ppts)
- -consider adding workflow/process steps to Preface, perhaps dependent on NASEM input

From: Bateson, Thomas < Bateson. Thomas@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 7:36 PM **To:** Kraft, Andrew Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov

Subject: RE: should dave bayliss be added as a contributor on the assessment (he's not listed now)?

Sure. He did contribute and I found him very helpful.

From: Kraft, Andrew < Kraft. Andrew@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 4:21 PM
To: Bateson, Thomas < Bateson. Thomas@epa.gov >

Subject: should dave bayliss be added as a contributor on the assessment (he's not listed now)?

Andrew Kraft, PhD (he/his/him) | Associate Division Director Chemical and Pollutant Assessment Division | Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment U.S. EPA | 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. | Mail Code 8623R | Washington, DC 20460 Office: (202)564-0286 | Cell: (202)734-1420